

_			_
PERFORMANCE '	TRENDS	DVFR 4-	YEAR PERIOD

**Mathematics** 

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2002	Average	Average	N/A
2003	Excellent	Excellent	Yes
2004			

### PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

English/Language Arts

### **Definition of Critical Terms**

**Mathematics** 

English/Language Arts

Advanced

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

EVALUATIONS ST TEACHERS, STOSENTS, AND TAKENTS							
	Teachers	Students	Parents				
Number of surveys returned	22	49	39				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	100.0%	93.9%	87.2%				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	100.0%	93.8%	73.7%				
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	72.7%	89.6%	79.5%				

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP  LEHOLING AND A CONTROL OF THE CONTROL O								
		15.10		/ ¿is		-rit	45	and
	/.6	en lestil	_ted /	"Bas	asic /	"Officie"	Wance	cient ancel
	Enroll	8401 o/	lested old	oliop/ o	Basic of	Proficient of	Advanced olo Profi	cientand St.
	/ * *		Ei	nglish/Lar	iguage A		/ 44	/ 5
All students	50	100.0	8.9	46.7	33.3	11.1	44.4	17.6
Gender								
Male	27	100.0	4.3	52.2	39.1	4.3	43.5	17.6
Female	23	100.0	13.6	40.9	27.3	18.2	45.5	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	6	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
African-American	41	100.0	10.5	42.1	34.2	13.2	47.4	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Hispanic	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	3	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Disability Status								
Not disabled	49	100.0	9.1	45.5	34.1	11.4	45.5	17.6
Disabled	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Migrant Status			,,,,	. ,,, .	,,	,,,,	,,	
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant	50	100.0	8.9	46.7	33.3	11.1	44.4	17.6
English Proficiency	00	100.0	0.0	10.1	00.0			17.0
imited English proficient	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Ion-limited English proficient	50	100.0	8.9	46.7	33.3	11.1	44.4	17.6
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	45	100.0	10.0	47.5	32.5	10.0	42.5	17.6
Full-pay meals	5	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
·				•	•			
All atudanta		100.0	0.7		matics	0.0	00.0	45.5
All students Gender	50	100.0	6.7	31.1	53.3	8.9	62.2	15.5
Jender Male	0=	400.0	4.0	00.4	50.0	40.0	05.0	45.5
Female	27	100.0	4.3	30.4	52.2	13.0	65.2	15.5
	23	100.0	9.1	31.8	54.5	4.5	59.1	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group White		100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
African-American	6			28.9			63.2	
Asian/Pacific Islander	41	100.0	7.9		52.6	10.5		15.5
Hispanic	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status	3	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Not disabled	40	100.0	C 0	24.0	F0.0	0.4	C4 4	45.5
	49	100.0	6.8	31.8	52.3	9.1	61.4	15.5
Disabled	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Migrant Status	NI/A	0.0	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	15.5
Aigrant	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
lon-migrant	50	100.0	6.7	31.1	53.3	8.9	62.2	15.5
English Proficiency	N1/4	0.0	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	45.5
imited English proficient	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Ion-limited English proficient	50	100.0	6.7	31.1	53.3	8.9	62.2	15.5
Socio-Economic Status		100.5		00.5			20.6	
Subsidized meals	45	100.0	7.5	32.5	52.5	7.5	60.0	15.5
Full-pay meals	5	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5

# PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

		•							
PAC	T PERFC								
		Emolif	Red Testing		alow Basic	/	Proficient old	Advanced Advanced	X3
		Jur	Self (Sept.)	lested of the	ONBU	Basic ol	profice	Advall offic	por Adv
		EMO	84° 0/0	0/08	0/0	0, 0/	0/0	olobic.	N.
				English	n/Langua	ge Arts			, I
	Grade 3	62	N/A	32.3	45.2	21.0	1.6	22.6	
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
8	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2002	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Grade 3	50	100.0	8.9	46.7	33.3	11.1	44.4	
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
33	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2003	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

				M	athematio	CS		
	Grade 3	62	N/A	22.6	51.6	22.6	3.2	25.8
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	50	100.0	6.7	31.1	53.3	8.9	62.2
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
20	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

SCHOOL PROFILE				
(	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n= 247)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Retention rate	10.4%	Up from 3.5%	3.0%	2.4%
Attendance rate	95.7%	Down from 96.0%	95.5%	95.9%
Meeting grade 1 and 2 readiness standards	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for gifted and talented	1.9%	Down from 4.4%	5.6%	13.2%
On academic plans	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
With disabilities other than speech	2.1%	Up from 1.7%	7.8%	8.0%
Older than usual for grade	2.4%	N/A	2.5%	1.1%
Suspended or expelled	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 20)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	40.0%	Down from 42.9%	46.9%	50.0%
Continuing contract teachers	85.0%	Down from 90.5%	79.5%	85.3%
Highly qualified teachers	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Teachers returning from previous year	82.8%	Up from 80.3%	80.6%	86.2%
Teacher attendance rate	96.5%	Up from 96.2%	95.0%	95.3%
Average teacher salary	\$35,645	Down 0.7%	\$38,529	\$39,909
Prof. development days/teacher	22.3 days	Up from 9.7 days	13.5 days	11.4 days
School				
Principal's years at school	18.0	Up from 16.0	3.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio	17.9 to 1	Up from 17.5 to 1	17.0 to 1	18.9 to 1
Prime instructional time	91.7%	Up from 91.4%	88.5%	89.7%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$5,229	Up 13.3%	\$6,629	\$5,892
Percent spent on teacher salaries*	61.7%	Down from 67.0%	65.0%	66.6%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences	99.0%	Up from 98.4%	99.0%	99.0%
SACS accreditation	yes	N/A	yes	yes

<sup>\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Lighty gualified to above in high neverty cabools	N1/A	N1/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbreviations	for	Miccina	Data
Appreviations	IOL	wiissina	บลเล

N/A Not Applicable	N/C Not Collected	N/R Not Reported	I/S Insufficient Sample
--------------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------------------

### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

South Elementary worked to put into practice its belief that all children can learn, and learn at high levels. It had six classes with reduced pupil teacher ratios, five fifteen-to-one and one eighteen-to-one. It maintained certification from the State Department of Education, the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools, its status as a School of Promise, and participated in community service projects.

South's instructional program is researched based. A basal program forms the foundation for instruction. Take Home Book Bags, Reading Counts, Reading is Fundamental (RIF), and a variety of reading incentive programs supports the reading initiative. Hands-on-math and science increase the probability that children will master state required process skills. Writing begins in four-year-old kindergarten and develops sequentially in difficulty through the grades. Content areas such as health and safety, and social studies are integrated into other content areas as well as receiving the minimum time required by the state.

Teacher training was directed towards developing critical instructional skills. Grade level planning topped off the teacher initiative for improvement. Grade level teachers met weekly after school to develop PACT like items for weekly instruction and assessment.

Community interests and needs were met through volunteer opportunities, celebrating together, and parent instructional programs. Parents, students at the junior high, and college level came on a regular basis to tutor children in math and reading. Many one-time volunteers came to share a favorite story or to accompany a class on a field trip.

South is especially proud of its parent programs. Each program focuses on different needs and/or interests. Friday's Child offers opportunities for parents of four-year-olds to join their children in the school's library each Friday. Books for Babes meet monthly at South and three times a year at Saint Eugene Hospital. This program targets mothers to-be and those with children under six. Tomorrow's Child meets monthly. Parents are taught basic reading and math strategies for assisting their children in grades one and above with these subjects. South has a Parent Facilitator who provides private tutoring and transportation to and from school for conferences and school functions. The First Steps Facilitator visits in the homes of young mothers twice a week to train them in providing their children under four with developmentally appropriate readiness skills.

South is devoted to its children and community. Its goal is to provide an environment where one knows learning is taking place and visitors are welcome.

Peggy K. Stafford, Principal

#### DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

## DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.